SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS MEASURE B BOND PROGRAM LEASE-LEASEBACK REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS REVIEW AND SCORING MATRIX

Scorer:	Date:				ALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS R	LVILW AND SCORING							
Reviewers: Read each State													
evaluate each firm/team in accordance with the		BEST VALUE EVALUATION SCORING CRITERIA FROM RFQ/P											
Best Value Criteria. Input a													
area.													
FIRM	RESPONSIVE (Y/N)	PRICE POINTS	TECHNICAL EXPERTISE	COLLABORATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE		SUBCONTRACTORS	SAFETY	SCHEDULE	STAFFING	CHPS			
TERRA LINDA HIGH SCHOOL	Submittal meets	Price and Price Points	Technical Expertise & relevant	Past experience with alternative Project Delivery methods and collaboration	Interview Maximum 25 points : Max.	n Workforce & available 5 skilled & qualified		Experience with accelerated Project Schedules & early	Management &	Ability to meet/incorporate CHPS	INTERVIEW POINTS	PERCENT POINTS ASSIGNED OF	
	format and standards		experience with like-projects	with design and owner teams.	points Proposal, Max. 20 points score		Safety record	Delivery Dates	Staffing approach	standards into project	Maximum 20	MAXIMUM 275	
	in RFQ/P	Maximum 100 points	Maximum 40 points	Maximum 30 points	at Interview	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 10 points	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 20 points	Maximum 10 Points	points	POINTS	
Midstate Construction	Y	43	25	19.75	3.13	15	7.25	15	14.75	2.5		145.38	
Flint Builders	Y	73	36	27	4.50	19	8.75	18.5	19.25	5	10.0	221.00	
	•	75	50	27	4.50	15	0.75	18.5	15.25	5	10.0	221.00	
Wright Contracting	Y	70	32.75	26	4.25	18.25	8.75	17.25	16.25	8.75	15.2	217.45	
GCCl, Inc.	Y	48	30.75	21.5	2.88	17	7	14.25	15.75	3.75	-	160.88	
F&H Construction	Y	21	34	26	3.63	17.5	7.25	16	17.75	2.5	-	145.63	
Swinerton	Y	60	36.5	28	4.63	19.25	9.75	16	18.5	3.75		196.38	
C. Overaa	Y	52	36.25	28.25	4.13	19.75	9.5	19.5	19.25	7.5		196.13	
BHM Construction	Y	87	32.25	21.5	3.63	18	7.5	16.25	17	3.75	16.8	223.68	
Suasal Corporation	Y	86	29	22.25	2.88	17.75	6.25	14.25	16.5	1.25	-	196.13	
Lathrop Construction	Y	70	33.5	28	4.50	19.5	9.25	17.25	13.75	7.5	14.00	217.25	
XL Construction	Y	72	29.25	24	3.63	19	7.25	16.25	15.5	2.5		189.38	
	1	12						10.25	13.5			105.50	
Blach Construction	Y	43	34.75	25.5	3.88	19.25	8.25	15	16	9.25		174.88	
Rodan Builders	Y	59	28	23	3.38	18.75	8	14.25	15.25	3		172.63	
Alten Construction	Y	65	36.25	28.25	4.63	19.25	9.25	18	19.25	6.25	14.2	220.33	
Landmark Construction	Y	51	33	24.75	3.38	19.25	7	15.5	16.5	1.75	-	172.13	
		50		24.77	0.00	40.75						4.67.62	
Thompson Builders	Y	50	32.5	21.75	3.63	18.75	8	14	16	3		167.63	
Cahill	Y	65	29.75	27	3.88	19.25	8.25	16.75	18.75	7.5		196.13	

SAN RAFAEL CITY SCHOOLS MEASURE B BOND PROGRAM LEASE-LEASEBACK REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS REVIEW AND SCORING MATRIX

	.	Γ		STATEMENTS OF QUA	ALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSALS R	EVIEW AND SCORING	MATRIX						
Scorer:	Date:												
Reviewers: Read each Statement of Qualifications, evaluate each firm/team in accordance with the Best Value Criteria. Input a numerical score in each area.			BEST VALUE EVALUATION SCORING CRITERIA FROM RFQ/P										
FIRM	RESPONSIVE (Y/N)	PRICE POINTS	TECHNICAL EXPERTISE	COLLABORATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	PROJECT TEAM AND PROJECT UNDERSTANDING	SUBCONTRACTORS	SAFETY	SCHEDULE	STAFFING	CHPS			
SAN RAFAEL HIGH SCHOOL	Submittal meets format and standards in RFQ/P		Technical Expertise & relevant experience with like-projects Maximum 40 points	Past experience with alternative Project Delivery methods and collaboration with design and owner teams. Maximum 30 points	Presentation of the specific team and project understanding demonstrated in the Proposal and as applicable at Interview Maximum 25 points : Max. points Proposal, Max. 20 points scored at Interview	Workforce & available skilled & qualified workforce	Safety record Maximum 10 points	Experience with accelerated Project Schedules & early Delivery Dates Maximum 20 points	Management & Staffing approach Maximum 20 points	Ability to meet/incorporate CHPS standards into project Maximum 10 Points	INTERVIEW POINTS Maximum 20 points	PERCENT POINTS ASSIGNED OF MAXIMUM 275 POINTS	
Midstate Construction	Y	48	25	19.75	3.125	15	7.25	15	14.75	2.5		150.38	
Flint Builders	Y	78	36	27	4.5	19	8.75	18.5	19.25	5	10.00	226.00	
Wright Contracting	Y	78	32.75	26	4.25	18.25	8.75	17.25	16.25	8.75	15.2	225.45	
GCCI, Inc.	Y	53	30.75	21.5	2.875	17	7	14.25	15.75	3.75	-	165.88	
F&H Construction	Y	24	34	26	3.625	17.5	7.25	16	17.75	2.5	-	148.63	
Swinerton	Y	63	36.5	28	4.625	19.25	9.75	16	18.5	3.75	-	199.38	
C. Overaa	Y	61	36.25	28.25	4.125	19.75	9.5	19.5	19.25	7.5		205.13	
BHM Construction**	Y	90	32.25	21.5	3.625	18	7.5	16.25	17	3.75	16.8	226.68	
Suasal Corporation	Y	91	29	22.25	2.875	17.75	6.25	14.25	16.5	1.25		201.13	
Lathrop Construction	Y	75	33.5	28	4.5	19.5	9.25	17.25	13.75	7.5	14.00	222.25	
Blach Construction	Y	50	34.75	25.5	3.875	19.25	8.25	15	16	9.25	-	181.88	
Rodan Builders	Y	65	28	23	3.375	18.75	8	14.25	15.25	3	-	178.63	
Alten Construction	Y	71	36.25	28.25	4.625	19.25	9.25	18	19.25	6.25	14.2	226.33	
Landmark Construction	Y	57	33	24.75	3.375	19.25	7	15.5	16.5	1.75	_	178.13	
Thompson Builders	Y	56	32.5	21.75	3.625	18.75	8	14	16	3	-	173.63	
Cahill	Y	71	29.75	27	3.875	19.25	8.25	16.75	18.75	7.5	-	202.13	

****BHM Withdrew their proposal from scoring**